

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

Istituto di
Matematica Applicata e
Tecnologie Informatiche
“Enrico Magenes”

PUBBLICAZIONI

L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, L. D. Marini, A. Russo

***H*(DIV) AND *H*(CURL)-CONFORMING VEM**

9PV14/0/0

C.N.R. - Istituto di Matematica Applicata e Tecnologie Informatiche "*Enrico Magenes*"

Sede di Pavia
Via Ferrata, 1 - 27100 PAVIA (Italy)
Tel. +39 0382 548211
Fax +39 0382 548300

Sezione di Genova
Via De Marini, 6 (Torre di Francia) - 16149 GENOVA (Italy)
Tel. +39 010 6475671
Fax +39 010 6475660

Sezione di Milano
Via E. Bassini, 15 - 20133 MILANO (Italy)
Tel. +39 02 23699521
Fax +39 02 23699538

URL: <http://www.imati.cnr.it>

$H(\text{div})$ and $H(\text{curl})$ -conforming VEM

L. Beirão da Veiga ^{*}, F. Brezzi [†], L. D. Marini [‡], and A. Russo [§]

Abstract

In the present paper we construct Virtual Element Spaces that are $H(\text{div})$ -conforming and $H(\text{curl})$ -conforming on general polygonal and polyhedral elements; these spaces can be interpreted as a generalization of well known Finite Elements. We moreover present the basic tools needed to make use of these spaces in the approximation of partial differential equations. Finally, we discuss the construction of exact sequences of VEM spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Virtual Element Methods were initially introduced in [10], as a variant of classical Lagrange Finite Element Methods to accommodate the use of polygonal and polyhedral elements. Needless to say, they could be seen as an evolution of nodal Mimetic Finite Differences (see [25, 13]) as well as a variant of other Galerkin methods for polygonal and polyhedral elements (see e.g. [4, 7, 8, 9, 21, 18, 24, 34, 35, 36, 37, 41, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50] and the references therein). Even more recently, in [26] we started the extension to polygonal elements of Raviart-Thomas or BDM elements for mixed formulations (see e.g. [23] and the references therein). These, in a sense, constitute the most natural and direct evolution of the original “flux based” Mimetic Finite Differences, as for instance in [40]. See also, for the more mathematical aspects, [28, 31, 29], as well as [16, 38, 14], the review papers [22, 33, 42], and the book [15]. In addition to [10], see for instance [20, 11, 1, 17, 26] and references therein for applications of the Virtual Element Method to various types of problems

On the other hand, to deal with a sufficiently wide range of mixed formulations (see again [23] and the references therein), one needs to use a big variety of $H(\text{div})$ and $H(\text{curl})$ -conforming spaces (to be used together with the more classical H^1 -conforming and L^2 -conforming ones). See for instance [44] or [3]. See also the recent overview on Finite Element spaces presented in [2].

The purpose of this paper is to indicate a possible strategy to construct the extensions of all these types of spaces to more general elemental geometries, and typically to polygonal and

The present work has been partially supported by the IMATI-CNR of Pavia (Italy)

^{*}Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano (Italy), and IMATI del CNR, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, (Italy)

[†]IUSS, Piazza della Vittoria 15, 27100 Pavia (Italy), and IMATI del CNR, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, (Italy)

[‡]Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pavia, and IMATI del CNR, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, (Italy)

[§]Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano-Bicocca, via Cozzi 57, 20125 Milano (Italy) and IMATI del CNR, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, (Italy)

polyhedral elements. The use of curved edges or curved faces (that so far, in this context, was tackled only in [30]) will be the object of future research.

As a general matter, the (vector valued) functions to be used, in each element, in the Virtual Element Methods are **not** polynomials (although they contain suitable polynomial spaces within each element), and are presented as solutions of (systems of) partial differential equations. However “the name of the game”, in the VEM context, is to avoid solving these PDE systems, even in a roughly approximate way. Hence, in order to be able to construct, element by element, the necessary local matrices, we have to be able to construct suitable *projectors* from the local VEM spaces to some polynomial spaces (whose degree will determine the final accuracy of the method).

In presenting our $H(\text{div})$ -conforming and $H(\mathbf{curl})$ -conforming spaces we will therefore take care to show how, for them, one can construct suitable L^2 -projection operators on the corresponding polynomial spaces. This of course will not always solve all the problems, but (as pointed out for instance in [1] for some particular cases) will surely be a precious instrument.

As the variety of possible variants (required by different applications) is overwhelming, we decided to limit ourselves, here, to the presentation of a few typical cases (that in our opinion could be sufficient to give the general idea), leaving to the very last (and short) section the task to give hints on some of the possible variants. In the same spirit, we decided not to present direct applications. We believe that, for the readers with some experience in the approximation of mixed formulations, the general ideas outlined in this paper should be enough to understand the possible use of our spaces for most of the applications discussed in [23]. Clearly, a lot of additional work, and a lot of numerical experiments, will be needed for the tune-up of these methods in each particular type of application. To have an idea on the implementation of Virtual Element Methods we refer to the guidelines given in [12] for nodal virtual elements.

Here is an outline of the paper: in the next section we will introduce a suitable notation and recall a few classical results of Calculus in several variables. Then we will present, each in a separate section, the $H(\text{div})$ -conforming and the $H(\text{curl})$ -conforming spaces for *polygonal* elements, and the corresponding ones for *polyhedral* elements. Next, we will briefly recall the H^1 -conforming and L^2 -conforming spaces (as introduced for instance in [10]) and discuss the possibility of having *exact sequences* of VEM spaces, in the spirit of [3].

In the last section, as announced already, we will give a short hint of the huge variety of possible variants.

2. NOTATION, ASSUMPTIONS, AND KNOWN RESULTS

In what follows, we will detail the spaces and their degrees of freedom mainly **at the element level**. One of the best features of Virtual Element Methods is the possibility to use elements having a very general geometry, and actually, in order to give the definition of the space we could use arbitrary *simply connected polygons and polyhedra*. In order to have optimal *interpolation errors*, as well as suitable stability properties in the applications to different problems, we would however need some mild assumptions. Here below, we give the *flavor* of the type of assumptions that are generally used in Virtual Element Methods.

In two dimensions we will assume that we deal with a polygon E having ℓ_e edges and containing a disk D_E such that E is star-shaped with respect to all the points of D_E .

In three dimensions we will assume that we are dealing with a polyhedron P having ℓ_e edges and ℓ_f faces, containing a ball B_P such that P is star-shaped with respect to all points of B_P . We will also assume that each face f is star-shaped with respect to all the points of a disk D_f .

Note that under all these assumptions both E , P , and each face of P will have to be *simply connected*.

Actually it will not be a problem to use elements that are suitable unions of pieces that satisfy the assumptions above.

Needless to say, when dealing with a *sequence of decompositions* $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_h$, one should make some further assumptions. To start with, for every geometrical object \mathcal{O} that we are going to use in what follows (edge, face, element, etc.) we will denote its *diameter* by $h_{\mathcal{O}}$. Then we assume that there exists a positive number κ such that, in two dimensions, for every decomposition \mathcal{T}_h and for every element E in \mathcal{T}_h , we have:

- $h_{D_E} \geq \kappa h_E$
- for every edge e of E we have $h_e \geq \kappa h_E$

and in three dimensions, for every decomposition \mathcal{T}_h and for every element P in \mathcal{T}_h , we have

- $h_{B_P} \geq \kappa h_P$
- for every face f of P we have $h_{D_f} \geq \kappa h_P$
- for every face f of P and for every edge e of f : $h_e \geq \kappa h_f$.

We point out that these additional assumptions will imply, in particular, that there exists an integer number K depending only on κ such that every element has less than K faces and each face (and each element in two dimensions) has less than K edges.

We also point out that the above assumptions, that are indeed quite general, are very likely unnecessarily restrictive. Indeed, from our numerical experiments, these methods show a remarkable robustness, allowing for instance polygons with edges that are arbitrarily small compared with the diameter of the element itself. We consider however that the present generality is sufficient in almost every practical case, and we decided, for the moment, to avoid unnecessary technical complications in order to increase it.

Here below we introduce now some additional notation.

- For a space of functions $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ defined on \mathcal{O} , we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{R}$ (or simply by \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{R} when the context is clear) the subset of functions having zero mean value on \mathcal{O} .
- **In two dimensions**, for a polygon E , \mathbf{n}_E or simply \mathbf{n} will be the outward normal unit vector, and \mathbf{t}_E , or simply \mathbf{t} , will be the tangent counterclockwise unit vector.
- For a scalar field q and a vector field $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$, we will set (with a usual notation)

$$\mathbf{rot} q := \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}, -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right) \quad \mathbf{rot} \mathbf{v} := \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y}$$

- **In three dimensions**, for a face f of a polyhedron P , the **tangential** differential operators will be denoted by a subscript 2, as in: div_2 , rot_2 , \mathbf{rot}_2 , \mathbf{grad}_2 , Δ_2 , and so on.
- When dealing with a single polyhedron, we will always assume that all its faces are oriented with the *outward normal*, while, when necessary, we will have to choose an orientation for every edge. Obviously when dealing with a decomposition in several polyhedra we will also have to decide an orientation for every face.

- On a polyhedron P , on each face f we will have to distinguish between the unit outward *normal to the plane of the face* (that we denote by \mathbf{n}_P^f), and the unit vector *in the plane of the face* that is normal to the boundary ∂f (that will be denoted, on each edge e , by \mathbf{n}_f^e). On each face, \mathbf{t}_f or simply \mathbf{t} will again be the unit counterclockwise tangent vector on ∂f .
- For a (smooth enough) three dimensional vector-valued function φ on P , and for a face f with normal \mathbf{n}_P^f , we define the tangential component of φ as

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_f := \varphi - (\varphi \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f) \mathbf{n}_P^f,$$

while φ_t denotes the vector field defined on ∂P such that, on each face $f \in \partial P$, its restriction to the face f satisfies:

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_{t|f} = \varphi_f.$$

- Note that φ_f as defined in (2.1) is different from

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi \wedge \mathbf{n}_P^f;$$

indeed, for instance, if $\mathbf{n}_P^f = (0, 0, 1)$ and $\varphi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$, then

$$\varphi_f = (\phi_1, \phi_2, 0) \quad \varphi \wedge \mathbf{n}_P^f = (\phi_2, -\phi_1, 0).$$

- With an abuse of language, sometimes we will treat both φ_f and $\varphi \wedge \mathbf{n}_P^f$ as 2-d vectors in the plane of the face. In the previous case, then, we would often take $\varphi_f = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ and $\varphi \wedge \mathbf{n}_P^f = (\phi_2, -\phi_1)$.

We will now recall some basic properties of Calculus of several variables, applied in particular to polynomial spaces. Before doing that, we recall once more that our assumptions imply that all our elements are simply connected.

For a generic non negative number k and for a generic geometrical object \mathcal{O} in 1,2, or 3 dimensions we will denote

- $\mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{O}) =$ Polynomials of degree $\leq k$ on \mathcal{O} ,

with the additional (common) convention that

- $\mathbb{P}_{-1}(\mathcal{O}) = \{0\}$.

Moreover, with a common abuse of language, we will often say “polynomial of degree k ” meaning actually “polynomial of degree $\leq k$ ”. Often the geometrical object \mathcal{O} will be omitted when no confusion arises.

In all the following diagrams (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), as well as in the ones at the end, as (8.1), (8.2), and (8.10) we will denote by i the mapping that to every real *number* c associates the constant *function* identically equal to c , and by o the mapping that to every *function* associates the *number* 0. Then we recall that, in 2 and in 3 dimensions, we have the exactness of the following sequences.

In 2 dimensions

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{grad}} (\mathbb{P}_{r-1})^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot}} \mathbb{P}_{r-2} \xrightarrow{o} 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot}} (\mathbb{P}_{r-1})^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{div}} \mathbb{P}_{r-2} \xrightarrow{o} 0$$

are exact sequences. In three dimensions we have that

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{grad}} (\mathbb{P}_{r-1})^3 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{curl}} (\mathbb{P}_{r-2})^3 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{div}} \mathbb{P}_{r-3} \xrightarrow{o} 0$$

is also an exact sequence. We recall that the *exactness* means that *the image of every operator coincides with the kernel of the following one*. To better explain the consequences of these statements we introduce an additional notation. For s integer ≥ 1 , in two dimensions we denote by

- \mathcal{G}_{s-1} the set $\mathbf{grad}(\mathbb{P}_s)$,
- \mathcal{R}_{s-1} the set $\mathbf{rot}(\mathbb{P}_s)$,

and in three dimensions

- \mathcal{G}_{s-1} the set $\mathbf{grad}(\mathbb{P}_s)$,
- \mathcal{R}_{s-1} the set $\mathbf{curl}((\mathbb{P}_s)^3)$.

If we are considering polynomials on a domain \mathcal{O} (not disgustingly irregular) we might use the $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ or (in d dimensions) the $(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^d$ inner product, and introduce

- \mathcal{G}_s^\perp as the *orthogonal* of \mathcal{G}_s in $(\mathbb{P}_s)^d$,
- and \mathcal{R}_s^\perp as the *orthogonal* of \mathcal{R}_s in $(\mathbb{P}_s)^d$.

Obviously, $(\mathbb{P}_s)^d = \mathcal{G}_s \oplus \mathcal{G}_s^\perp = \mathcal{R}_s \oplus \mathcal{R}_s^\perp$. In a similar way, the space \mathbb{P}_s could be seen as decomposed in the subspace of constants (the image of $i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_s$) and the polynomials in \mathbb{P}_s having zero mean value on \mathcal{O} (and hence orthogonal to the constants), that is $(\mathbb{P}_s(\mathcal{O}))/\mathbb{R}$.

We recall now some of the properties following from the exactness of the above sequences. The exactness of the sequence (2.4) implies in particular that for all integer s :

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{i) } \mathbf{grad} \text{ is an isomorphism from } (\mathbb{P}_s)/\mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathcal{G}_{s-1}, \\ & \text{ii) } \{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{P}_s)^2\} \Rightarrow \{\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_s\}, \\ & \text{iii) } \mathbf{rot} \text{ is an isomorphism from } \mathcal{G}_s^\perp \text{ to the whole } \mathbb{P}_{s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and equivalently (2.5) implies that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{i) } \mathbf{rot} \text{ is an isomorphism from } (\mathbb{P}_s)/\mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathcal{R}_{s-1}, \\ & \text{ii) } \{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{P}_s)^2\} \Rightarrow \{\mathbf{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_s\}, \\ & \text{iii) } \mathbf{div} \text{ is an isomorphism from } \mathcal{R}_s^\perp \text{ to the whole } \mathbb{P}_{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the exactness of the sequence (2.6) implies in particular that, for all integer s :

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{i) } \{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{P}_s)^3\} \Rightarrow \{\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_s\}, \\ & \text{ii) } \{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{P}_s)^3\} \Rightarrow \{\mathbf{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_s\}, \\ & \text{iii) } \mathbf{grad} \text{ is an isomorphism from } (\mathbb{P}_s)/\mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathcal{G}_{s-1}, \\ & \text{iv) } \mathbf{curl} \text{ is an isomorphism from } \mathcal{G}_s^\perp \text{ to } \mathcal{R}_{s-1}, \\ & \text{v) } \mathbf{div} \text{ is an isomorphism from } \mathcal{R}_s^\perp \text{ to the whole } \mathbb{P}_{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. *Properties [2.7;ii], [2.8;ii], and [2.9;i and ii] are just particular cases of well known results in Calculus. Indeed, on a simply connected domain, we know that a (smooth enough) vector field \mathbf{v} having $\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{v} = 0$ (in 2 dimensions) or $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = 0$ (in 3 dimensions) is necessarily a gradient, and a (smooth enough) vector \mathbf{v} field having $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ is necessarily a \mathbf{rot} (in 2 dimensions) or a \mathbf{curl} (in 3 dimensions).*

To all these spaces we can attach their dimensions. To start with, we denote by $\pi_{k,d}$ the dimension of the space $\mathbb{P}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that is,

$$(2.10) \quad \pi_{k,1} = k + 1; \quad \pi_{k,2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}; \quad \pi_{k,3} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}{6}.$$

We then consider the spaces of (vector valued) polynomials $(\mathbb{P}_k)^d$ whose dimension is obviously

$$(2.11) \quad \dim\{(\mathbb{P}_k)^d\} = d\pi_{k,d}.$$

Among them, we consider those that are *gradients* (that we already called \mathcal{G}_k), and we denote by $\gamma_{k,d}$ their dimension:

$$(2.12) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k\} \text{ in } d \text{ dimensions} \equiv \gamma_{k,d} = \pi_{k+1,d} - 1.$$

Needless to say, $\gamma_{k,2}$ also equals the dimension $\rho_{k,2}$ of $\mathbf{rot}(\mathbb{P}_{k+1})$ (that is, \mathcal{R}_k in two dimensions):

$$(2.13) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k\} \text{ in 2 dimensions} = \rho_{k,2} = \gamma_{k,2} = \pi_{k+1,2} - 1.$$

We also have (obviously), in d dimensions,

$$(2.14) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k^\perp\} \text{ in } d \text{ dimensions} = d\pi_{k,d} - \gamma_{k,d} = d\pi_{k,d} - \pi_{k+1,d} + 1.$$

In 2 dimensions, looking at [2.7;iii)] and at [2.8;iii)] we see that the dimension of \mathcal{G}_k^\perp as well as that of \mathcal{R}_k^\perp equal that of \mathbb{P}_{k-1} , that is

$$(2.15) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k^\perp\} = \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k^\perp\} = \pi_{k-1,2} \quad \text{in two dimensions.}$$

On the other hand, for $d = 3$, we can use [2.9;iv)] and see that the dimension $\rho_{k-1,3}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{k-1} = \mathbf{curl}((P_k)^3)$ is given by

$$(2.16) \quad \rho_{k-1,3} = \dim\{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}\} = \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k^\perp\} = 3\pi_{k,3} - \pi_{k+1,3} + 1,$$

while, following [2.9;v)], we have

$$(2.17) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k^\perp\} = \pi_{k-1,3} \quad \text{in three dimensions}$$

We summarize all the above results on the dimensions of polynomial spaces in the following equations. In **two dimensions**:

$$(2.18) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k\} = \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k\} = \pi_{k+1,2} - 1 \quad \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k^\perp\} = \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k^\perp\} = \pi_{k-1,2}$$

and in **three dimensions**:

$$(2.19) \quad \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k\} = \pi_{k+1,3} - 1, \quad \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k^\perp\} = 3\pi_{k,3} - \pi_{k+1,3} + 1 \\ \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k\} = 3\pi_{k+1,3} - \pi_{k+2,3} + 1 \quad \dim\{\mathcal{R}_k^\perp\} = \pi_{k-1,3}.$$

As announced, the definition of our local Virtual Element spaces will be done as the solution, within each element, of a suitable *div-curl* system. In view of that, it will be convenient to recall the *compatibility conditions* (between the data inside the element and the ones at the boundary) that are required in order to have a solution. To start with, for a polygon E we define

$$(2.20) \quad H(\mathbf{div}; E) := \{\mathbf{v} \in (L^2(E))^2 \text{ such that } \mathbf{div} \mathbf{v} \in L^2(E)\},$$

$$(2.21) \quad H(\text{rot}; E) := \{\mathbf{v} \in (L^2(E))^2 \text{ such that } \text{rot } \mathbf{v} \in L^2(E)\},$$

and for a polyhedron P

$$(2.22) \quad H(\text{div}; P) := \{\mathbf{v} \in (L^2(P))^3 \text{ such that } \text{div } \mathbf{v} \in L^2(P)\},$$

$$(2.23) \quad H(\text{rot}; P) := \{\mathbf{v} \in (L^2(P))^3 \text{ such that } \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \in (L^2(P))^3\}.$$

We now assume that we are given, on a simply connected polygon E , two smooth functions f_d and f_r , and, on the boundary ∂E , two edge-wise smooth functions g_n and g_t . We recall that the problem: *find* $\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; E) \cap H(\text{rot}; E)$ *such that*:

$$(2.24) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ and } \text{rot } \mathbf{v} = f_r \text{ in } E \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = g_n \text{ on } \partial E$$

has a unique solution if and only if

$$(2.25) \quad \int_E \text{div } \mathbf{v} \, dE = \int_{\partial E} g_n \, ds.$$

Similarly the problem: *find* $\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; E) \cap H(\text{rot}; E)$ *such that*:

$$(2.26) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ and } \text{rot } \mathbf{v} = f_r \text{ in } E \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t} = g_t \text{ on } \partial E$$

has a unique solution if and only if

$$(2.27) \quad \int_E \text{rot } \mathbf{v} \, dE = \int_{\partial E} g_t \, ds.$$

In three dimension, on a simply connected polyhedron P we assume that we are given a smooth scalar function f_d and a smooth vector valued function \mathbf{f}_r with $\text{div } \mathbf{f}_r = 0$. On the boundary ∂P we assume that we are given a face-wise smooth scalar function g_n and a face-wise smooth tangent vector field \mathbf{g}_t whose tangential components are continuous (with a natural meaning) at the edges of ∂P . Then we recall that the problem: *find* $\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; P) \cap H(\mathbf{curl}; P)$ *such that*:

$$(2.28) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ and } \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}_r \text{ in } P \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = g_n \text{ on } \partial P$$

has a unique solution if and only if

$$(2.29) \quad \int_P \text{div } \mathbf{v} \, dP = \int_{\partial P} g_n \, ds,$$

and similarly the problem: *find* $\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; P) \cap H(\mathbf{curl}; P)$ *such that*:

$$(2.30) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ and } \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}_r \text{ in } P \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{g}_t \text{ on } \partial P$$

has a unique solution if and only if

$$(2.31) \quad \mathbf{f}_r \cdot \mathbf{n} = \text{rot}_2 \mathbf{g}_t \text{ on } \partial P.$$

For more details concerning the solutions of the *div-curl system* we refer, for instance, to [5], [6] and the references therein.

Finally, in order to help the reader to understand what we consider as *feasible* (in a code), we recall that we assume to be able to integrate any polynomial on any polygon or polyhedron, for instance through formulae of the type

$$(2.32) \quad \int_E x_1^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \int_{\partial E} x_1^{k+1} n_1 \, ds.$$

3. 2D FACE ELEMENTS

These spaces are the same of Brezzi-Falk-Marini [26], although here we propose a different set of degrees of freedom.

3.1. The local space. On a polygon E , for k integer ≥ 1 , we set:

$$(3.1) \quad V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; E) \cap H(\text{rot}; E) : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e) \ \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ of } E, \\ \mathbf{grad} \text{ div } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(E), \text{ and } \text{rot } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E) \}.$$

3.2. Dimension of the space $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$. We recall from our introduction that, given

- a function g defined on ∂E such that $g|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e)$ for all $e \in \partial E$,
- a polynomial $f_d \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_E f_d \, dE = \int_{\partial E} g \, ds,$$

- a polynomial $f_r \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$,

we can find a unique vector $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = g \text{ on } \partial E, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ in } E, \quad \text{rot } \mathbf{v} = f_r \text{ in } E.$$

This easily implies that the dimension of $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ is given by:

$$(3.4) \quad \dim V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E) = \ell_e \dim \mathbb{P}_k(e) + \{ \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E) - 1 \} + \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E) \\ = \ell_e \pi_{k,1} + \pi_{k-1,2} - 1 + \pi_{k-1,2}$$

Remark 3.1. We note that, for a vector-valued function in $H(\text{div}; E) \cap H(\text{rot}; E)$, one can define both the normal and the tangential trace on each edge of ∂E (see [32]).

3.3. The Degrees of Freedom. A convenient set of degrees of freedom for functions \mathbf{v} in $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ will be:

$$(3.5) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} p_k \, de \quad \text{for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \, dE \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \, dE \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp.$$

Remembering (2.18) we easily see that number of degrees of freedom (3.5)–(3.7) equals the dimension of $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ as given in (3.4).

3.4. Unisolvence. Since the number of degrees of freedom (3.5)–(3.7) equals the dimension of $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$, to prove unisolvence we just need to show that if for a given \mathbf{v} in $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ all the degrees of freedom (3.5)–(3.7) are zero, that is if

$$(3.8) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} p_k \, de = 0 \quad \text{for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \, dE = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \, dE = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp,$$

then we must have $\mathbf{v} = 0$. For this we introduce a couple of preliminary observations.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ and if (3.8) and (3.9) hold, then*

$$(3.11) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{grad} \varphi \, dE = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(E).$$

Proof. Using the fact that $\text{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}$ and setting $q_{k-1} := \text{div} \mathbf{v}$ we have

$$(3.12) \quad \int_E |\text{div} \mathbf{v}|^2 \, dE = \int_E \text{div} \mathbf{v} q_{k-1} \, dE = \int_{\partial E} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} q_{k-1} \, ds - \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{grad} q_{k-1} \, dE = 0,$$

where the last step follows from (3.8) and (3.9). Hence we have that $\text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ and since (using again (3.8)) $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on ∂E , the result (3.11) follows then using a simple integration by parts. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ then there exist a \mathbf{q}_k^\perp in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp and a $\varphi \in H^1(E)$ such that*

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{q}_k^\perp + \mathbf{grad} \varphi.$$

Proof. We first note that according to (3.1) if $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ then $\text{rot} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}$. Looking at [2.7;iii)] we have then that $\text{rot} \mathbf{v} = \text{rot} \mathbf{q}_k^\perp$ for some $\mathbf{q}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp$. Now the difference $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{q}_k^\perp$ satisfies $\text{rot}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{q}_k^\perp) = 0$, and as E is simply connected the result follows from Remark 2.1. \square

We can now easily prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The degrees of freedom (3.5)-(3.7) are unisolvent in $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$.*

Proof. Assume that for a certain $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ we have (3.8)-(3.10). From Lemma 3.2 we have $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{q}_k^\perp + \mathbf{grad} \varphi$ for some $\mathbf{q}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp$ and some $\varphi \in H^1(E)$. Then

$$(3.14) \quad \int_E |\mathbf{v}|^2 \, dE = \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_k^\perp + \mathbf{grad} \varphi) \, dE = 0$$

since the first term is zero by (3.10) and the second term is zero by (3.8)-(3.9) and Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2. *The degrees of freedom (3.5) are pretty obvious. A natural variant would be to use, on each edge e , the values of $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ at the $k+1$ Gauss points on e . On the other hand, for the degrees of freedom (3.6) we could integrate by parts, and substitute them with*

$$(3.15) \quad \int_E \text{div} \mathbf{v} q_{k-1} \, dE \quad \text{for all } q_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}/\mathbb{R}.$$

Finally, the degrees of freedom (3.7) could be replaced by

$$(3.16) \quad \int_E \text{rot} \mathbf{v} q_{k-1} \, dE \quad \text{for all } q_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}$$

as we had in the original work [26].

Remark 3.3. *Needless to say, certain degrees of freedom will be more convenient when writing the code, and others might be more convenient when writing a proof. For instance, from the above discussion it is pretty obvious that we can identify uniquely an element \mathbf{v} of $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ by prescribing its normal component $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ (in $\mathbb{P}_k(e)$) on every edge, its rotation $\text{rot} \mathbf{v}$ (in $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$), and its divergence $\text{div} \mathbf{v}$ (in $(\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E))/\mathbb{R}$), provided the compatibility condition (3.2) is satisfied. This will be convenient in some proof, but might be less convenient in the code.*

3.5. Computing the L^2 projection. Since the VEM spaces contain functions which are not polynomials, and their reconstruction can be too hard, for the practical use of a virtual element method it is often important to be able to compute different types of projections onto spaces of polynomials. Here we show how to construct the one that is possibly the most convenient, and surely the most commonly used: the L^2 projection onto $(\mathbb{P}_k(E))^2$.

For this, we begin by recalling that to assign $\mathbf{grad} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(E)$ (as we do with our degrees of freedom (3.6) for $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$), is equivalent to assign $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$ up to an additive constant. This constant will be assigned by the integral of $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ over ∂E , that can be deduced from the degrees of freedom (3.5). Indeed, using the same integration by parts applied in (3.12), the degrees of freedom (3.5) and (3.6) allow us to compute $\int_E \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} q_{k-1} dE$ for all $q_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$, and since $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$, we can compute exactly the divergence of any $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$. In turn this implies, again by using an integration by parts and (3.5), that we are able to compute also

$$\int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k dE \quad \forall \mathbf{g}_k \in \mathcal{G}_k,$$

and actually

$$\int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{grad} \varphi dE \quad \forall \varphi \text{ polynomial on } E.$$

The above property, combined with (3.7), allows to compute the integrals against any $\mathbf{q}_k \in (\mathbb{P}_k(E))^2$ and thus yields the following important result.

Theorem 3.2. *The $L^2(E)$ projection operator*

$$\Pi_k^0 : V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(E) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k(E))^2$$

is computable using the degrees of freedom (3.5)–(3.7).

Remark 3.4. *We point out that, for instance, the $(L^2(E))^2$ projection would be much more difficult to compute if we used the degrees of freedom discussed in Remark 3.3.*

3.6. The global 2D-face space. Given a polygon Ω and a decomposition \mathcal{T}_h of Ω into a finite number of polygonal elements E , we can now consider the *global* space

$$(3.17) \quad V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) \cap H(\operatorname{rot}_h; \Omega) \text{ s. t. } \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e) \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h, \\ \mathbf{grad} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(E), \text{ and } \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E) \forall \text{ element } E \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h \},$$

where, with a common notation, $H(\operatorname{rot}_h; \Omega)$ is the space of vector valued functions \mathbf{v} in $(L^2(\Omega))^2$ such that their rot, *within each element* E , belongs to $L^2(E)$. In other words

$$(3.18) \quad H(\operatorname{rot}_h; \Omega) = \prod_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h} H(\operatorname{rot}; E).$$

Note that in (3.17) we assumed that the elements \mathbf{v} of $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ have a divergence that is *globally* (and not just element-wise) in $L^2(\Omega)$. Hence the normal component of vectors $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ will have to be “continuous” (with obvious meaning) at the inter-element edges.

From the local degrees of freedom (3.5)-(3.7) we deduce the global degrees of freedom:

$$(3.19) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} p_k \, de \quad \text{for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(3.20) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \, dE \quad \text{for all element } E, \text{ for all } \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(E),$$

$$(3.21) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \, dE \quad \text{for all element } E, \text{ for all } \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp(E).$$

From the above discussion it follows immediately that the degrees of freedom (3.19)-(3.21) are unisolvent, and that the dimension of $V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V_{2,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)) &= \pi_{k,1} \times \{\text{number of edges in } \mathcal{T}_h\} + \\ &\quad (2\pi_{k-1,2} - 1) \times \{\text{number of elements in } \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

4. 2D EDGE ELEMENTS

The edge elements in 2D exactly correspond to the face elements, just rotating everything by $\pi/2$. For the sake of completeness we just recall the definition of the spaces and the corresponding degrees of freedom.

4.1. The local space. On a polygon E we set

$$(4.1) \quad V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(E) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; E) \cap H(\text{rot}; E) : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e) \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ of } E, \\ \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(E), \text{ and } \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E) \}.$$

4.2. The Degrees of Freedom. A convenient set of degrees of freedom for elements \mathbf{v} in $V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(E)$ will be:

$$(4.2) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t} p_k \, de \quad \text{for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, dE \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, dE \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp.$$

Remark 4.1. Here too we could use alternative degrees of freedom, in analogy with the ones discussed in Remarks 3.2 and 3.3. In particular we point out that we can identify uniquely an element \mathbf{v} of $V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(E)$ by prescribing its tangential component $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}$ (in $\mathbb{P}_k(e)$) on every edge, its rotation $\mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{v}$ (in $(\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E))/\mathbb{R}$), and its divergence $\text{div} \, \mathbf{v}$ (in $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E)$).

Remark 4.2. Obviously, here too we can define the L^2 -projection onto \mathbb{P}_k , exactly as we did in subsection 3.5, with \mathcal{R}_k^\perp taking the role of \mathcal{G}_k^\perp .

4.3. The global 2D-edge space. Given a polygon Ω and a decomposition \mathcal{T}_h of Ω into a finite number of polygonal elements E , we can now consider the *global* space

$$(4.5) \quad V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}_h; \Omega) \cap H(\text{rot}; E) \text{ s. t. } \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e) \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h, \\ \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E), \text{ and } \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(E) \forall \text{ element } E \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h \},$$

where, with a notation similar to that used in (3.18), we have here

$$(4.6) \quad H(\text{div}_h; \Omega) = \prod_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h} H(\text{div}; E).$$

Note that the tangential component of vectors $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ will have to be “continuous” (with obvious meaning) at the inter-element edges. From the local degrees of freedom (4.2)-(4.4) we deduce the global degrees of freedom:

$$(4.7) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t} p_k \, de \quad \text{for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(4.8) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, dE \quad \text{for all element } E, \text{ for all } \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(E),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \int_E \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, dE \quad \text{for all element } E, \text{ for all } \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp(E).$$

From the above discussion it follows immediately that the degrees of freedom (4.7)-(4.9) are unisolvent, and that the dimension of $V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)) &= \pi_{k,1} \times \{\text{number of edges in } \mathcal{T}_h\} + \\ &\quad (2\pi_{k-1,2} - 1) \times \{\text{number of elements in } \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

5. 3D FACE ELEMENTS

The three-dimensional $H(\text{div})$ -conforming spaces follow in a very natural way the path of their two-dimensional companions.

5.1. **The local space.** On a polyhedron P we set

$$(5.1) \quad V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P) := \{\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; P) \cap H(\mathbf{curl}; P) \text{ s. t. } \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f \in \mathbb{P}_k(f) \forall \text{ face } f \text{ of } P, \\ \mathbf{grad} \, \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(P), \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-1}(P)\}.$$

5.2. **Dimension of the space $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$.** We recall from the introduction that given

- a function g defined on ∂P such that $g|_f \in \mathbb{P}_k(f)$ for all $f \in \partial P$,
- a polynomial $f_d \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(P)$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \int_P f_d \, dP = \int_{\partial P} g \, dS,$$

- a vector valued polynomial $\mathbf{f}_r \in \mathcal{R}_{k-1}(P)$,

we can find a unique vector $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = g \text{ on } \partial P, \quad \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ in } P, \quad \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}_r \text{ in } P.$$

This easily implies that the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$ is given by: *the number of faces, ℓ_f , times the dimension of \mathbb{P}_k (in \mathbb{R}^2), plus the dimension of $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(P)$ minus one (to take into account the compatibility condition (5.2)) plus the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_{k-1}(P)$, that is*

$$(5.4) \quad \dim(V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)) = \ell_f \pi_{k,2} + \gamma_{k-2,3} + \rho_{k-1,3}.$$

5.3. **The Degrees of Freedom.** The degrees of freedom will be:

$$(5.5) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f p_k \, df \quad \text{for all face } f, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(f),$$

$$(5.6) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2},$$

$$(5.7) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp.$$

It is not difficult to check, using (2.12) and (2.16), that the number of the above degrees of freedom is given by

$$(5.8) \quad \ell_f \pi_{k,2} + \dim\{\mathcal{G}_{k-2}\} + \dim\{\mathcal{G}_k^\perp\} = \ell_f \pi_{k,2} + \gamma_{k-2,3} + \rho_{k-1,3},$$

which equals the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$ as given in (5.4).

5.4. Unisolvence. Having already noticed that the number of degrees of freedom (5.5)-(5.7) equals the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$, we just have to show that if a $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$ verifies

$$(5.9) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f p_k \, df = 0 \quad \text{for all face } f, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(f),$$

$$(5.10) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \, dP = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2},$$

$$(5.11) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \, dP = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp,$$

then $\mathbf{v} = 0$. We proceed as in the two dimensional case. For this we observe first that if $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$ and if (5.9) and (5.10) hold, then

$$(5.12) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{grad} \varphi \, dP = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(P).$$

The proof is identical to that of Lemma 3.1. Then we observe that for all $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(P)$ there exist a \mathbf{q}_k^\perp in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp and a $\varphi \in H^1(P)$ such that

$$(5.13) \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{q}_k^\perp + \mathbf{grad} \varphi.$$

Again the proof is identical to that of Lemma 3.2, this time using [2.9;iv)] to show the existence of a $\mathbf{q}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{q}_k^\perp) = 0$. Then using (5.13) we conclude that $\mathbf{v} = 0$ as in (3.14).

Remark 5.1. *As we did in the 2D case, we point out that the degrees of freedom (5.6) or (5.7) could be replaced by equivalent ones. In particular, the degrees of freedom (5.6) can again be replaced by*

$$(5.14) \quad \int_P \text{div} \mathbf{v} q_{k-1} \, dP \quad \text{for all } q_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}/\mathbb{R},$$

and the degrees of freedom (5.7) could be substituted by

$$(5.15) \quad \int_P \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-1}.$$

Remark 5.2. *Obviously, here too we can compute the L^2 -projection onto \mathbb{P}_k , exactly as we did in subsection 3.5.*

Remark 5.3. *In the same spirit of Remark 3.3, we point out that we can identify uniquely an element \mathbf{v} of $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(E)$ by prescribing its normal component $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ (in $\mathbb{P}_k(f)$) on each face, its rotation $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}$ (in $\mathcal{R}_{k-1}(E)$), and its divergence $\text{div} \mathbf{v}$ (in $(\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(E))/\mathbb{R}$).*

5.5. The global 3D-face space. Having now a polyhedron Ω and a decomposition \mathcal{T}_h of Ω into a finite number of polyhedral elements P , we can consider the global space:

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; \Omega) \cap H(\mathbf{curl}_h; \Omega) \text{ such that:} \\ \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f \in \mathbb{P}_k(f) \forall \text{ face } f \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h, \mathbf{grad} \text{ div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(P), \\ \text{and } \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-1}(P) \forall \text{ element } P \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h \}, \end{aligned}$$

with obvious notation (in agreement with (3.18) and (4.6)) for the operator \mathbf{curl}_h and the corresponding space $H(\mathbf{curl}_h; \Omega)$. As we did for the 2D case, we note that the normal

component of the elements of $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ will be “continuous” at the inter-element face. In $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}$ we can take, as degrees of freedom:

$$(5.17) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f p_k \, df \quad \text{for all face } f \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(f),$$

$$(5.18) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \, dP \quad \text{for all element } P \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ for all } \mathbf{g}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(P),$$

$$(5.19) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \, dP \quad \text{for all element } P \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ for all } \mathbf{g}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_k^\perp(P).$$

From the above discussion it follows immediately that the degrees of freedom (5.17)-(5.19) are unisolvent, and that the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V_{3,k}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)) &= \pi_{k,2} \times \{\text{number of faces in } \mathcal{T}_h\} + \\ &\quad (\pi_{k-1,3} - 1 + \rho_{k-1,3}) \times \{\text{number of elements in } \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

6. 3D EDGE ELEMENTS

This time we cannot just rotate the 3D-face case. However we can get some inspiration. We recall, from the very beginning, the Green formula:

$$(6.1) \quad \int_P \mathbf{curl} \, \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dP = \int_P \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dP + \int_{\partial P} \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \mathbf{n}) \, dS,$$

as well as

$$(6.2) \quad \int_P \mathbf{curl} \, \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dP = \int_P \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \left[-\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathbf{grad} \, \text{div} \, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dP + \int_{\partial P} \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot (\mathbf{curl} \, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \mathbf{n}) \, dS.$$

We also recall the observation that we made in Section 2 concerning the difference between $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \wedge \mathbf{n}_f$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_f$. We introduce moreover the following space.

Definition 6.1. *We define the boundary space $\mathcal{B}(\partial P)$ as the space of \mathbf{v} in $(L^2(\partial P))^3$ such that $\mathbf{v}_f \in H(\text{div}; f) \cap H(\text{rot}; f)$ on each face $f \in \partial P$, and such that on each edge e (common to the faces f_1 and f_2), $\mathbf{v}_{f_1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e$ and $\mathbf{v}_{f_2} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e$ (where \mathbf{t}_e is a unit tangential vector to e) coincide. Then we define $\mathcal{B}_t(\partial P)$ as the space of the tangential components of the elements of $\mathcal{B}(\partial P)$.*

Definition 6.2. *We now define the boundary VEM space $B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P)$ as*

$$B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P) = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{B}_t(\partial P) \text{ such that } \mathbf{v}_f \in V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(f) \text{ on each face } f \in \partial P \}.$$

Recalling the previous discussion on the two-dimensional virtual elements $V_{2,k}^{\text{edge}}(f)$, we can easily see that for a polyhedron with ℓ_e edges and ℓ_f faces the dimension β_k of $B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P)$ is given by

$$(6.3) \quad \beta_k = \ell_e \pi_{k,1} + \ell_f (2\pi_{k-1,2} - 1).$$

6.1. The local space. On a polyhedron P we set

$$(6.4) \quad V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) := \{ \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v}_t \in B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P), \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(P), \text{ and } \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P) \}.$$

6.2. **Dimension of the space** $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$. We start by observing that, given a vector \mathbf{g} in $B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P)$, a function f_d in \mathbb{P}_{k-1} , and a vector $\mathbf{f}_r \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)$ we can find a unique \mathbf{v} in $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ such that

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{g} \text{ on } \partial P, \text{ div } \mathbf{v} = f_d \text{ in } P, \text{ and } \mathbf{curl curl } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}_r \text{ in } P.$$

To prove it we consider the following auxiliary problems. The first is: find \mathbf{H} in $(H^1(P))^3$ such that

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbf{curl } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{f}_r \text{ in } P, \text{ div } \mathbf{H} = 0 \text{ in } P, \text{ and } \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \text{rot}_2 \mathbf{g} \text{ on } \partial P,$$

that is uniquely solvable since

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{\partial P} \text{rot}_2 \mathbf{g} \, dS = 0.$$

The second is: find $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ in $(H^1(P))^3$ such that

$$(6.8) \quad \mathbf{curl } \boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{H} \text{ in } P, \text{ div } \boldsymbol{\psi} = 0 \text{ in } P, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\psi}_t = \mathbf{g} \text{ on } \partial P,$$

that is also uniquely solvable since

$$(6.9) \quad \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \text{rot}_2 \mathbf{g}.$$

The third problem is: find $\varphi \in H_0^1(P)$ such that:

$$(6.10) \quad \Delta \varphi = f_d \text{ in } P,$$

that also has a unique solution. Then it is not difficult to see that the choice

$$(6.11) \quad \mathbf{v} := \boldsymbol{\psi} + \mathbf{grad } \varphi$$

solves our problem. Indeed, it is clear that $(\mathbf{grad } \varphi)_t = 0$, that $\text{div}(\mathbf{grad } \varphi) = f_d$ and that $\mathbf{curl curl}(\mathbf{grad } \varphi) = 0$; all these, added to (6.6) and (6.8), produce the right conditions. It is also clear that the solution \mathbf{v} of (6.5) is unique.

Hence we can conclude that the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ is given by

$$(6.12) \quad \dim(V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)) = \beta_k + \pi_{k-1,3} + \rho_{k-2,3}.$$

6.3. **The Degrees of Freedom.** A possible set of degrees of freedom will be:

$$(6.13) \bullet \text{ for every edge } e: \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t} p_k \, de \quad \text{for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(6.14) \bullet \text{ for every face } f: \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, df \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp(f),$$

$$(6.15) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, df \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(f),$$

$$(6.16) \bullet \text{ and inside } P \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp,$$

$$(6.17) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}.$$

The total number of degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.15) is clearly equal to β_k as given in (6.3) and the number of degrees of freedom (6.17) is equal to $\rho_{k-2,3}$. On the other hand, using [2.9;v)] we see that the number of degrees of freedom (6.16) is equal to $\pi_{k-1,3}$, so that the total number of degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17) is equal to the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ as computed in (6.12).

6.4. Unisolvence. Having seen that the number of degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17) equals the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, in order to see their unisolvence we only need to check that a vector $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ that satisfies

$$(6.18) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t} p_k \, de = 0 \quad \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ of } P \text{ and } \forall p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

$$(6.19) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, df = 0 \quad \forall \text{ face } f \text{ of } P \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp(f),$$

$$(6.20) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, df = 0 \quad \forall \text{ face } f \text{ of } P \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(f),$$

$$(6.21) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, dP = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp(P),$$

$$(6.22) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, dP = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P),$$

is necessarily equal to zero.

Actually, recalling the results of Section 4, it is pretty obvious that (6.18)-(6.20) imply that $\mathbf{v}_t = 0$ on ∂P . Moreover, since $\mathbf{curl curl v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)$, we are allowed to take $\mathbf{r}_{k-2} = \mathbf{curl curl v}$ as a test function in (6.22). An integration by parts (using $\mathbf{v}_t = 0$) gives

$$(6.23) \quad 0 = \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl curl v} \, dP = \int_P (\mathbf{curl v}) \cdot (\mathbf{curl v}) \, dP$$

and therefore we get $\mathbf{curl v} = 0$. Using this, and again $\mathbf{v}_t = 0$, we easily check, integrating by parts, that

$$(6.24) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \varphi \, dP = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in (H^1(P))^3.$$

Now we recall that from the definition (6.4) of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ we have that div v is in \mathbb{P}_{k-1} . From [(2.9);v] we then deduce that there exists a $\mathbf{q}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp$ with $\text{div} \mathbf{q}_k^\perp = \text{div v}$, so that the divergence of $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{q}_k^\perp$ is zero, and then (since P is simply connected)

$$(6.25) \quad \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{q}_k^\perp = \mathbf{curl} \varphi$$

for some $\varphi \in H(\mathbf{curl}; P)$. At this point we can use (6.24) and (6.25) to conclude as in (3.14)

$$(6.26) \quad \int_P |\mathbf{v}|^2 \, dP = \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_k^\perp + \mathbf{curl} \varphi) \, dP = \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}_k^\perp \, dP + \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \varphi \, dP = 0.$$

6.5. Alternative degrees of freedom. As we did in the previous cases, we observe that the degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17) are not (by far) the only possible choice. To start with, we can change the degrees of freedom in each face, according to Remark 3.2. Moreover, in the spirit of (6.5) we could assign, instead of (6.16) and/or (6.17), $\mathbf{curl curl v}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)$ and/or div v in $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(P)$, respectively.

6.6. The global 3D-edge space. Here too we can assume that we have a polyhedral domain Ω and its decomposition \mathcal{T}_h in a finite number of polyhedra P . In this case we can define the global space

$$(6.27) \quad V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega) := \{\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}_h; \Omega) \cap H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega) \text{ s. t. } \forall P \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ we have:}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_t \in B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P), \text{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(P), \text{ and } \mathbf{curl curl v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)\}.$$

Accordingly, we could take, as degrees of freedom:

- for every edge e in \mathcal{T}_h :

$$(6.28) \quad \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t} p_k \, de \quad \text{for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(e),$$

- for every face f in \mathcal{T}_h :

$$(6.29) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, df \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp(f),$$

$$(6.30) \quad \int_f \mathbf{v}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, df \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(f)$$

- and for every element P in \mathcal{T}_h

$$(6.31) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_k^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp,$$

$$(6.32) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}.$$

From the above discussion it follows immediately that the degrees of freedom (6.28)-(6.32) are unisolvent, and that the dimension of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ is

$$(6.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim(V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)) &= \pi_{k,1} \times \{\text{number of edges in } \mathcal{T}_h\} \\ &+ (2\pi_{k-1,2} - 1) \times \{\text{number of faces in } \mathcal{T}_h\} \\ &+ (\pi_{k-1,3} + \rho_{k-1,3}) \times \{\text{number of elements in } \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

6.7. An enhanced edge space. It is immediate to check that the degrees of freedom (6.16)-(6.17) allow to compute the moments of $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ up to order $k-2$. Nevertheless, in order to be able to compute the $L^2(P)$ projection operator on the space $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3$ we need to be able to compute the moments up to order k . In the present section, in the spirit of [1], we will introduce an enhanced space $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ with the additional property that the L^2 projector on $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3$ is computable.

We consider the larger virtual space

$$(6.34) \quad \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) := \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v}|_{\partial P} \in B_k^{\text{edge}}(\partial P), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(P), \text{ and } \mathbf{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_k(P)\}.$$

Following the same identical arguments used in the previous section and introducing the space

$$\mathcal{R}_k / \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P) := \{\mathbf{q}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k : \int_P \mathbf{q}_k \cdot \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \, dP = 0 \, \forall \mathbf{r}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}\},$$

it is immediate to check that (6.13)-(6.17), with the addition of

$$(6.35) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{q}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k / \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P),$$

constitute a set of degrees of freedom for $\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$. Note moreover that $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ is a subset of $\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ and that the combination of (6.16), (6.17) and (6.35) allows, for any function in $\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, to compute all the integrals against polynomials in $\mathbb{P}_k(P)$. Therefore the L^2 projection operator

$$\Pi_k^0 : \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3$$

is computable.

For the time being we *assume* the existence of a projection operator

$$(6.36) \quad \tilde{\Pi}_k : \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P}_k(P)\right)^3,$$

with the fundamental property of depending *only* on the degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17) (meaning that if \mathbf{v} satisfies (6.18)-(6.22) then $\tilde{\Pi}_k \mathbf{v} = 0$). We now introduce the space

$$(6.37) \quad W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) := \{\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \text{ such that:}$$

$$\int_P (\tilde{\Pi}_k \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP = \int_P (\Pi_k^0 \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP \quad \forall \mathbf{q}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k / \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)\}.$$

We then have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *The dimension of the space $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ is equal to the dimension of the original edge space $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$. Moreover, the operators (6.13)-(6.17) constitute a set of degrees of freedom for $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$.*

Proof. By definition of $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ we have

$$\dim \left(W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \right) \geq \dim \left(\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \right) - \dim \left(\mathcal{R}_k / \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P) \right) = \dim \left(V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \right).$$

Therefore, in order to conclude the lemma, it is sufficient to show the unisolvence of (6.13)-(6.17). For this, let $\mathbf{v} \in W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ satisfying (6.18)-(6.22). Note that, by the previously mentioned property of the (linear) projection operator $\tilde{\Pi}_k$, we immediately have that $\tilde{\Pi}_k(\mathbf{v})$ is equal to 0. Therefore, by definition of $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, for all $\mathbf{q}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k / \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)$ it holds

$$(6.38) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP = \int_P \left(\Pi_k^0 \mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP = \int_P \left(\tilde{\Pi}_k \mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP = 0.$$

Since $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \subseteq \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ and the set of degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17) plus (6.35) is unisolvent for $\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, we conclude that (6.18)-(6.22) plus (6.38) imply $\mathbf{v} = 0$. \square

Note that, due to the above lemma, the enhanced space $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ has the same degrees of freedom as $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$. Moreover, since the condition in (6.37) is satisfied by polynomials of degree k , we still have $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3 \subseteq W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$. The advantage of the space $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ with respect to $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ is that in $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ we can compute all the moments of order up to k . Indeed, the moments

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k-2} \, dP & \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{q}_{k-2} \in \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P), \\ \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}_k^\perp \, dP & \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{q}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k^\perp(P) \end{aligned}$$

can be computed using the degrees of freedom (6.16) and (6.17), while

$$(6.39) \quad \int_P \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP = \int_P \left(\Pi_k^0 \mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP = \int_P \left(\tilde{\Pi}_k \mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k \, dP$$

for all $\mathbf{q}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k / \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(P)$.

We are therefore left with the duty to build a projection operator $\tilde{\Pi}_k$ as in (6.36). Let N denote the dimension of the space $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, i.e. the number of degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17). Let us introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{D} : \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$

that associates, to any $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, a vector with components given by the evaluation of all the (ordered) operators (6.13)-(6.17) on \mathbf{v} (in other words, \mathcal{D} associates to every element of $\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ its “first N ” degrees of freedom). Note that the operator \mathcal{D} is not injective (as the dimension of $\tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ is *bigger* than that of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}$, that in turn is equal to N). On the other hand, since $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3 \subseteq V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ and the above N operators are a set of degrees of freedom for $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$, the operator \mathcal{D} *restricted to* $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3$ *is injective*. Given now *any* symmetric and positive definite bilinear form \mathcal{S} defined on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ we define the projection operator $\tilde{\Pi}_k^{\mathcal{S}}$ as follows. For all $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{V}_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$:

$$(6.40) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\Pi}_k^{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3 \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D} \tilde{\Pi}_k^{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{v} - \mathcal{D} \mathbf{v}, \mathcal{D} \mathbf{q}_k) = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{q}_k \in (\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3. \end{cases}$$

By recalling that \mathcal{D} is injective on $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3$, it is immediate to check that the above operator is well defined. Moreover, by definition it depends only on the degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17).

Remark 6.1. *Our construction is pretty general. Actually it is not difficult to prove that for every projector \mathcal{P} onto $(\mathbb{P}_k(P))^3$ depending only on the degrees of freedom (6.13)-(6.17) we can find a bilinear symmetric positive definite form \mathcal{S} such that $\mathcal{P} = \tilde{\Pi}_k^{\mathcal{S}}$.*

Remark 6.2. *The construction of the enhanced space $W_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}(P)$ has basically a theoretical interest. In practice (meaning, in writing the code) one doesn't even need to know what this space is. If one needs to use the L^2 projection of the elements of $V_{3,k}^{\text{edge}}$, one can just use the construction (6.40) (typically, with \mathcal{S} equal to the Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^N) in order to define $\tilde{\Pi}_k$, and then (6.39) to get the L^2 projection.*

7. SCALAR VEM SPACES

In the present section we restrict our reminders to the three dimensional case, the two dimensional one being simpler and analogous. We denote as usual with P a generic polyhedron.

7.1. VEM vertex elements. We start by recalling briefly the H^1 -conforming scalar space introduced in [10], here generalized to three dimensions. For computing the L^2 -projection in this case we refer to [1]. Let as usual k be an integer ≥ 1 .

Definition 7.1. *We define $B_k^{\text{vert}}(\partial P)$ as the set of functions $v \in C^0(\partial P)$ such that $v|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e)$ on each edge $e \in \partial P$, and on each face $f \in \partial P$ it holds $\Delta_2 v|_f \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(f)$ where Δ_2 is the planar Laplace operator on f .*

We introduce the family of local vertex spaces $V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(P) \subset H^1(P)$ as

$$(7.1) \quad V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(P) := \{v \mid v|_{\partial P} \in B_k^{\text{vert}}(\partial P) \text{ and } \Delta v \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(P)\},$$

with the associated set of degrees of freedom:

$$(7.2) \quad \bullet \text{ the pointwise value } v(\nu) \text{ for all vertex } \nu,$$

$$(7.3) \quad \bullet \int_e v p_{k-2} \, de \text{ for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_{k-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e),$$

$$(7.4) \quad \bullet \int_f v p_{k-2} \, df \text{ for all face } f, \text{ for all } p_{k-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(f),$$

$$(7.5) \quad \bullet \int_P v p_{k-2} \, dP \quad \text{for all } p_{k-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(P).$$

The dimension of the space is thus given by

$$(7.6) \quad \dim(V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(P)) = \ell_v + \ell_e \pi_{k-2,1} + \ell_f \pi_{k-2,2} + \pi_{k-2,3}.$$

As in the above section we can also consider the global spaces. Assuming that we have a polyhedral domain Ω and a decomposition \mathcal{T}_h in a finite number of polyhedra P , we can define the global space

$$(7.7) \quad V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega) := \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } v|_{\partial P} \in B_k^{\text{vert}}(\partial P) \\ \text{and } \Delta v \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(P) \text{ for all elements } P \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

with the associated set of degrees of freedom:

$$(7.8) \quad \bullet \text{ the pointwise value } v(\nu) \text{ for all vertex } \nu,$$

$$(7.9) \quad \bullet \int_e v p_{k-2} \, de \text{ for all edge } e, \text{ for all } p_{k-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e),$$

$$(7.10) \quad \bullet \int_f v p_{k-2} \, df \text{ for all face } f, \text{ for all } p_{k-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(f),$$

$$(7.11) \quad \bullet \int_P v p_{k-2} \, dP \quad \text{for all element } P, \text{ for all } p_{k-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(P).$$

The dimension of the global space is given by

$$\dim(V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega)) = \{\text{number of vertices} \in \mathcal{T}_h\} + \pi_{k-2,1} \times \{\text{number of edges} \in \mathcal{T}_h\} \\ + \pi_{k-2,2} \times \{\text{number of faces} \in \mathcal{T}_h\} + \pi_{k-2,3} \times \{\text{number of elements} \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

7.2. VEM volume elements. We finally introduce, for all integer $k \geq 0$, the family of volume spaces $V_{3,k}^{\text{elem}}(P) := \mathbb{P}_k(P) \subset L^2(P)$, with the associated degrees of freedom

$$\int_P v p_k \, dP \quad \text{for all } p_k \in \mathbb{P}_k(P).$$

This is actually a space of polynomials (like the ones used, for instance, in Discontinuous Galerkin methods), and to deal with it doesn't require any particular care. The corresponding global space will be

$$(7.12) \quad V_{3,k}^{\text{elem}}(\Omega) = \{v \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ such that } v|_P \in \mathbb{P}_k(P) \forall \text{ element } P \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

8. VIRTUAL EXACT SEQUENCES

We show now that, for the obvious choices of the polynomial degrees, the set of virtual spaces introduced in this paper constitutes an exact sequence. We start with the (simpler) two-dimensional case.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $k \geq 2$, and assume that Ω is a simply connected polygon, decomposed in a finite number of polygons E . Then the sequences*

$$(8.1) \quad \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} V_{2,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{grad}} V_{2,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{rot}} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{o} 0$$

and

$$(8.2) \quad \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} V_{2,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot}} V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{o} 0$$

are both exact sequences.

Proof. We note first that the two sequences are practically the same, up to a rotation of $\pi/2$. Hence we will just show the exactness of the sequence (8.1). Essentially, the only non-trivial part will be to show that

- **a.1** for every $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k-1}^{\text{edge}}$ with $\text{rot } \mathbf{v} = 0$ there exists a $\varphi \in V_{2,k}^{\text{vert}}$ such that $\mathbf{grad } \varphi = \mathbf{v}$.
- **a.2** for every $q \in V_{2,k-2}^{\text{elem}}(\Omega)$ there exists a $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ such that $\text{rot } \mathbf{v} = q$.

We start with **a.1**. As Ω is simply connected, we have that the condition $\text{rot } \mathbf{v} = 0$ implies that there exist a function $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $\mathbf{grad } \varphi = \mathbf{v}$ in Ω . On every edge e of \mathcal{T}_h such φ will obviously satisfy, as well:

$$(8.3) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{t}_e} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(e).$$

Then the restriction of φ to each $E \in \mathcal{T}_h$ verifies:

$$(8.4) \quad \varphi|_e \in \mathbb{P}_k(e) \quad \forall e \in \partial E; \quad \Delta \varphi \equiv \text{div } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(E)$$

so that clearly $\varphi \in V_{2,k}^{\text{vert}}$.

To deal with **a.2**, we first construct a φ in $(H^1(\Omega))^2$ such that $\text{rot } \varphi = q$ and

$$(8.5) \quad \varphi \cdot \mathbf{t} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} q \, dx}{|\partial \Omega|} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

where \mathbf{t} is the unit counterclockwise tangent vector to $\partial \Omega$ and $|\partial \Omega|$ is the length of $\partial \Omega$. Then we consider the element $\mathbf{v} \in V_{2,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$(8.6) \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e := \Pi_{k-1}^0(\varphi \cdot \mathbf{t}_e) \quad \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h$$

and, within each element E :

$$(8.7) \quad \text{rot } \mathbf{v} = \text{rot } \varphi = q, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

Clearly such a \mathbf{v} solves the problem. □

Remark 8.1. *The construction in the proof of **a.2** could also be done if the two-dimensional domain Ω is a closed surface, obtained as union of polygons. To fix the ideas, assume that*

we deal with the boundary ∂P of a polyhedron P , and that we are given on every face f of P a polynomial q_f of degree $k - 2$, in such a way that

$$(8.8) \quad \sum_{f \in \partial P} \int_f q_f \, df = 0.$$

Then there exists an element $\mathbf{v} \in B_{k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\partial P)$ such that on each face f we have $\text{rot}_2(\mathbf{v}|_f) = q_f$. To see that this is true, we define first, for each face f , the number

$$\tau_f := \int_f q_f \, df.$$

Then we fix, on each edge e , an orientation \mathbf{t}_e , we orient each face f with the outward normal, and we define, for each edge e of f , the counterclockwise tangent unit vector \mathbf{t}_e^f . Then we consider the combinatorial problem (defined on the topological decomposition \mathcal{T}_h) of finding for each edge e a real number σ_e such that for each face f

$$(8.9) \quad \sum_{e \in \partial f} \sigma_e \mathbf{t}_e \cdot \mathbf{t}_e^f = \tau_f.$$

This could be solved using the same approach used in the above proof, applied on a flat polygonal decomposition that is topologically equivalent to the decomposition of ∂P without a face. The last face will fit automatically, due to (8.8). Then we take \mathbf{v} such that on each edge $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}$ with $\int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e \, de = \sigma_e$, and for each face, $\text{div} \mathbf{v}_f = 0$, $\text{rot} \mathbf{v}_f = q_f$.

We are now ready to consider the three-dimensional case.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $k \geq 3$, and assume that Ω is a simply connected polyhedron, decomposed in a finite number of polyhedra P . Then the sequence*

$$(8.10) \quad \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{grad}} V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{curl}} V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{o} 0$$

is exact.

Proof. It is pretty much obvious, looking at the definitions of the spaces, that

- a constant function is in $V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega)$ and has zero gradient,
- the gradient of a function of $V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}(\Omega)$ is in $V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ and has zero **curl**,
- the **curl** of a vector in $V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ is in $V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ and has zero divergence,
- the divergence of a vector of $V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ is in $V_{3,k-3}^{\text{elem}}(\Omega)$.

Hence, essentially, we have to prove that:

- **b.1** for every $\mathbf{v} \in V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ with $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = 0$ there exists a $\varphi \in V_{3,k}^{\text{vert}}$ such that $\mathbf{grad} \varphi = \mathbf{v}$.
- **b.2** for every $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ with $\text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$ there exists a $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathbf{curl} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\tau}$
- **b.3** for every $q \in V_{3,k-3}^{\text{elem}}(\Omega)$ there exists a $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}$ such that $\text{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = q$.

The proof of **b.1** is immediate, as in the two-dimensional case [2.1]: the function (unique up to a constant) φ such that $\mathbf{grad} \varphi = \mathbf{v}$ will verify (8.3) on each edge. Moreover, its restriction φ_f to each face f will satisfy $\mathbf{grad}_2 \varphi = \mathbf{v}_f$, and so on.

Let us therefore look at **b.2**. Given $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$ with $\text{div } \boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$ we first consider (as in Remark 8.1) the element $\mathbf{g} \in B_{k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\partial\Omega)$ such that, on each face $f \in \partial\Omega$

$$(8.11) \quad \text{rot}_2(\mathbf{g}|_f) = \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad (\in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(f)).$$

Note that

$$(8.12) \quad \sum_{f \in \partial\Omega} \int_f \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^f \, df = \int_\Omega \text{div } \boldsymbol{\tau} \, d\Omega = 0,$$

so that the compatibility condition (8.8) is satisfied. Then we solve in Ω the *Div – Curl* problem

$$(8.13) \quad \text{div } \boldsymbol{\psi} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{curl } \boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}_t = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

The (unique) solution of (8.13) has enough regularity to take the trace of its tangential component on each edge e , and therefore, after deciding an orientation \mathbf{t}_e for every edge e in \mathcal{T}_h , we can take

$$(8.14) \quad \eta_e := \Pi_{k-1}^0(\boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e) \quad \text{on each edge } e \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_h.$$

At this point, for each element P we construct $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in B_{k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\partial P)$ by requiring that

$$(8.15) \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{t}_e = \eta_e \quad \text{on each edge,} \quad \text{rot}_2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}_f = \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f \quad \text{and} \quad \text{div } \boldsymbol{\varphi}_f = 0 \quad \text{in each face } f \in \partial P.$$

Then we can define $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ inside each element by choosing, together with (8.15),

$$(8.16) \quad \text{curl } \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{div } \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0 \quad \text{in each element } P.$$

It is easy to see that the boundary conditions given in (8.15) are *compatible* with the requirement $\text{curl } \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\tau}$, so that the solution of (8.16) exists. Moreover it is easy to see that all the necessary orientations fit, in such a way that $\text{curl } \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is globally in $(L^2(\Omega))^3$, so that actually $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in V_{3,k-1}^{\text{edge}}(\Omega)$.

Finally, we have to prove **b.3**. The proof follows very closely the two dimensional case: given $q \in V_{3,k-3}^{\text{elem}}(\Omega)$, we first choose $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in (H^1(\Omega))^3$ such that

$$(8.17) \quad \text{div } \boldsymbol{\eta} = q \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega = \frac{\int_\Omega q \, d\Omega}{|\partial\Omega|}$$

where, now, $|\partial\Omega|$ is obviously the *area* of $\partial\Omega$. Then on each face f of \mathcal{T}_h we take

$$(8.18) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}^f = \Pi_{k-2}^0(\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}^f)$$

and inside each element P we take $\text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma} = q$ and $\text{curl } \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$. Note again that condition $\text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma} = q$ is *compatible* with the boundary conditions (8.18) and the orientations will fit in such a way that actually $\text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^2(\Omega)$, so that $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in V_{3,k-2}^{\text{face}}(\Omega)$. □

Remark 8.2. *Although here we are not dealing with applications, we point out that, as is well known (see e.g. [19], [44], [39], [3]), the exactness of the above sequences are of paramount importance in proving several properties (as the various forms of inf-sup, the ellipticity in the kernel, etc.) that are crucial in the study of convergence of mixed formulations (see e.g. [23]).*

9. A HINT ON MORE GENERAL CASES

As already pointed out in the final part of [26] for the particular case of 2D face elements, we observe here that actually in all four cases considered in this paper (face elements and edge elements in 2D and in 3D), we have at least three parameters to play with in order to create variants of our elements.

For instance, considering the case of 3D face elements, we could choose three different integers k_b , k_r and k_d (all ≥ -1) and consider, instead of (5.1) the spaces

$$(9.1) \quad V_{3,\mathbf{k}}^{\text{face}}(P) := \{\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; P) \cap H(\mathbf{curl}; P) \text{ such that: } \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P^f \in \mathbb{P}_{k_b}(f) \forall \text{ face } f \text{ of } P, \\ \mathbf{grad} \text{ div } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}_{k_d-1}(P), \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k_r}(P)\},$$

where obviously \mathbf{k} is given by $\mathbf{k} := (k_b, k_d, k_r)$. Taking, for a given integer k , the three indices as $k_b = k$, $k_d = k - 1$, $k_r = k - 1$ we re-obtain the elements in (5.1), that in turn are the natural extension of the BDM $H(\text{div})$ -conforming elements. On the other hand, taking instead $k_b = k$, $k_d = k$, $k_r = k - 1$, for $k \geq 0$ we would mimic more the Raviart-Thomas elements.

We also point out that if we know a priori that (say, in a mixed formulation) the *vector part* of the solution of our problem will be a gradient, we could consider the choice $k_b = k$, $k_d = k - 1$, $k_r = -1$ obtaining a space that contains all polynomial vectors in \mathcal{G}_k (that is: vectors that are gradients of some scalar polynomial of degree $\leq k + 1$), a space that is rich enough to provide an optimal approximation of our unknown.

Similarly, for the spaces in (6.4) one can consider the variants

$$(9.2) \quad V_{3,\mathbf{k}}^{\text{edge}}(P) := \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v}_t \in B_{k_b}^{\text{edge}}(\partial P), \text{div } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k_d}(P), \text{ and } \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{k_r-1}(P)\}.$$

On the other hand, for nodal VEMs we can play with two indices, say k_b and k_Δ , to have

$$(9.3) \quad V_{3,\mathbf{k}}^{\text{vert}}(P) := \{v \mid v|_{\partial P} \in B_{k_b}^{\text{vert}}(\partial P) \text{ and } \Delta v \in \mathbb{P}_{k_\Delta-2}(P)\},$$

and, needless to say, in the definition of $B_{k_b}(\partial P)$, the degree of Δ_2 in each face could be different from k_b .

Actually, to be sincere, the amount of possible variants looks overwhelming, and the need of numerical experiments (for different applications of practical interest) is enormous.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, B., Alsaedi, A., Brezzi, F., Marini, L.D., Russo, A.: Equivalent Projectors for Virtual Element Methods. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **66**(3), 376–391 (2013).
- [2] Arnold, D.N., Awanou, G., Boffi, D., Bonizzoni, F., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: The periodic Table of Finite Elements. *FEniCs 2013*, to appear.
- [3] Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. *Acta Numerica* **15**, 1-155 (2006).
- [4] Arroyo, M., Ortiz, M.: Local maximum-entropy approximation schemes: a seamless bridge between finite elements and meshfree methods. *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg.* **65**, 2167–2202 (2006).
- [5] Auchmuty, G Alexander, J. C. L2-well-posedness of planar div-curl systems *Quart. Appl. Math.* **160**, 91134 (2001)
- [6] Auchmuty, G Alexander, J. C. L2-well-posedness of 3D div-curl boundary value problems *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **63**, 479508 (2005)
- [7] Babuška, I., Banerjee, U., Osborn, J.E.: Survey of meshless and generalized finite element methods: a unified approach. *Acta Numerica* **12**, 1–125 (2003).

- [8] Babuška, I., Banerjee, U., Osborn, J.E.: Generalized finite element methods: Main ideas, results and perspectives., *Int. J. Comp. Meth.* **1**, 67–103 (2004).
- [9] Babuška, I., Melenk, J.M.: The partition of unity method. *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg.* **40**, 727–758 (1997).
- [10] Beirão da Veiga, L., Brezzi, F., Cangiani, A., Manzini, G., Marini, L.D., Russo, A.: Basic Principles of Virtual Element Methods. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **23**(1), 199–214 (2013).
- [11] Beirão da Veiga, L., Brezzi, F., Marini, L.D.: Virtual Elements for linear elasticity problems. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.*, **5**(2):794–812, (2013).
- [12] Beirão da Veiga, L., Brezzi, F., Marini, L.D., Russo, A.: The Hitchhiker’s Guide to the Virtual Element Method *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **24**(8), 1541–1573 (2014).
- [13] Beirão da Veiga, L., Lipnikov, K., Manzini, G.: Arbitrary-Order Nodal Mimetic Discretizations of Elliptic Problems on Polygonal Meshes. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **49**(5):1737–1760, 2011.
- [14] Beirão da Veiga, L., Lipnikov, K., Manzini, G.: Convergence analysis of the high-order mimetic finite difference method. *Numer. Math.* **113**(3), 325–356 (2009).
- [15] Beirão da Veiga, L., Lipnikov, K., Manzini, G.: The Mimetic Finite Difference Method, volume 11 of Modeling, Simulations and Applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, I edition (2013).
- [16] Beirão da Veiga, L., Manzini, G.: A higher-order formulation of the mimetic finite difference method. *SIAM, J. Sci. Comput.* **31**(1), 732–760 (2008).
- [17] Beirão da Veiga, L., Manzini, G.: A virtual element method with arbitrary regularity. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **34**(2):759–781, 2014.
- [18] Bonelle, J., Ern, A. Analysis of compatible discrete operator schemes for elliptic problems on polyhedral meshes. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **48**, 553–581 (2014).
- [19] Bossavit, A. Mixed finite elements and the complex of Whitney forms. in *The mathematics of finite elements and applications, VI*, 137–144, 1988.
- [20] Brezzi, F., Marini, L.D.: Virtual elements for plate bending problems. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, **253**:455–462, 2013.
- [21] Bishop, J. E.: A displacement-based finite element formulation for general polyhedra using harmonic shape functions. *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg.* **97**, 1–31 (2014).
- [22] Bochev, P., Hyman, J.M.: Principle of mimetic discretizations of differential operators. In D.N. Arnold, P. Bochev, R. Lehoucq, R. Nicolaides, and M. Shashkov, editors, *Compatible discretizations. Proceedings of IMA hot topics workshop on compatible discretizations*, IMA Volume 142. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [23] Boffi, D., Brezzi, F., Fortin, M.: Mixed Finite Element Methods and Applications. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, 44, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2013.
- [24] Bonelle, J., Ern, A.: Analysis of compatible discrete operator schemes for elliptic problems on polyhedral meshes. *M2AN: Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **48**, 553–581 (2014).
- [25] Brezzi, F., Buffa, A., Lipnikov, K.: Mimetic finite differences for elliptic problems. *M2AN: Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **43**, 277–295 (2009).
- [26] Brezzi, F., Falk, R.S., Marini, L.D.: Basic principles of mixed Virtual Element Method. *Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* (online 4 Dec. 2013: DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/m2an/2013138>)
- [27] Brezzi, F., Fortin, M.: Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [28] Brezzi, F., Lipnikov, K., Shashkov, M.: Convergence of mimetic finite difference method for diffusion problems on polyhedral meshes. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* **43**, 1872–1896 (2005).
- [29] Brezzi, F., Lipnikov, K., Shashkov, M., Simoncini, V.: A new discretization methodology for diffusion problems on generalized polyhedral meshes. *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engrg.* **196**, 3682–3692 (2007).
- [30] Brezzi, F., Lipnikov, K., Shashkov, M.: Convergence of Mimetic Finite Difference Methods for Diffusion Problems on Polyhedral Meshes with curved faces. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **16**, 275–298 (2006).
- [31] Brezzi, F., Lipnikov, K., Simoncini, V.: A family of mimetic finite difference methods on polygonal and polyhedral meshes. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **15**, 1533–1553 (2005).
- [32] Costabel, M.: A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell’s equations on Lipschitz domains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **12**(4), 365–368 (1990).
- [33] Droniou, J., Eymard, R., Gallouët, T., Herbin, R.: A unified approach to mimetic finite difference, hybrid finite volume and mixed finite volume methods. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **20**(2), 265–295 (2010).

- [34] Floater, M.S., Hormann, K., Kós, G.: A general construction of barycentric coordinates over convex polygons. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **24**, 311–331 (2006).
- [35] Fries, T.-P., Belytschko, T.: The extended/generalized finite element method: An overview of the method and its applications. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engrg.* **84**, 253–304 (2010).
- [36] Griebel, M., Schweitzer, M.A.: A particle-partition of unity method for the solution of elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic PDEs. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **22**, 853–890 (2000).
- [37] Griebel, M., Schweitzer, M.A.: A particle-partition of unity method-part ii: Efficient cover construction and reliable integration. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **23**, 1655–1682 (2002).
- [38] Gyrya, V., Lipnikov, K.: High-order mimetic finite difference method for diffusion problems on polygonal meshes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **227**, 8841–8854 (2008).
- [39] R. Hiptmair. Discrete Hodge operators. *Numer. Math.*, 90(2):265–289, 2001.
- [40] Hyman, J.M., Shashkov, M.: Adjoint operators for the natural discretizations of the divergence, gradient and curl on logically rectangular grids. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **25**(4), 413–442 (1997).
- [41] Yu. Kuznetsov and S. Repin. New mixed finite element method on polygonal and polyhedral meshes. *Russ. J. Numer. Anal. Math. Modelling*, 18(3):261–278, 2003.
- [42] Lipnikov, K., Manzini, G., Shashkov, M.: Mimetic finite difference method. *J. Comput. Phys.* **257** B, 1163–1227 (2014).
- [43] Martin, S., Kaufmann, P., Botsch, M., Wicke, M., Gross, M.: Polyhedral finite elements using harmonic basis functions. *Comput. Graph. Forum* **27**, 1521–1529 (2008).
- [44] Matiussi, C.: An analysis of finite volume, finite element, and finite difference methods using some concepts of algebraic topology. *J. Comput. Phys.* **133**, 289–309 (1997).
- [45] Sukumar, N., Malsch, E.A.: Recent advances in the construction of polygonal finite element interpolants. *Arch. Comput. Meth. Engrg.* **13**(1), 129–163 (2006).
- [46] A. Tabarraei and N. Sukumar. Extended finite element method on polygonal and quadtree meshes. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 197(5):425–438, 2008.
- [47] Talischi, C., Paulino, G. H., Pereira, A., Menezes, I.F.M.: Polygonal finite elements for topology optimization: A unifying paradigm. *Int. J. Numer. Methods. Engrg.*, **82**:671–698, 2010.
- [48] Talischi, C., Paulino, G. H., Pereira, A., Menezes, I.F.M.: PolyMesher: a general-purpose mesh generator for polygonal elements written in Matlab. *Struct. Multidisc Optimiz.* **45**(3):309–328, 2012.
- [49] Wachspress, E.: A Rational Finite Element Basis. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [50] Wachspress, E.: Rational bases for convex polyhedra. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 1953–1956 (2010).